

(Rel 102—3/05 Pub 605) FORM 9-3 9-11

Practitioner's Docket No. P-1262 PATENT

11	N THE UNITED STATES P	ATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
Applicatio	n No.: 10/541,496 C	Metzemacher, et al. Group No.: 1714 Examiner: not yet assigned E-EXFOLIATED NANOCLAY AND USE THEREO
P.O. Box	ioner for Patents 1450 a, VA 22313-1450	Confirmation No. 201
	STAT	TUS INQUIRY
WARNING	 Submission of a status letter after a in patent term adjustment under 3: 111-112, June 26, 2001. 	Notice of Allowance may subject an application to a reduction 7 C.F.R. § 1.704(c)(10). See Notice of may 29, 2001, 1247 OG
1. More	than 14 months have pa	ssed since
	NEW APPLICATIONS	
	the filing of this application of	onJuly 6, 2005
	No communication has been indicating action on this appl	received from the Patent and Trademark Office
	AMENDED APPLICATIONS	•
	the filing of a response on _	
		is been received from the Patent and Trademark
	APPEALED APPLICATION	
	The Appeal Brief was file	ed on:
	When using Express Mail, the	R 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10* Express Mail label number is mandatory; certification is optional.)
I hereby cert	ify that, on the date shown below, th	is correspondence is being.
		MAILING
deposite Box 145	0, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	e in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O.
	37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)	37 C.F.R. § 1.10 ° ☐ as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"
with suff	icient postage as first class mail.	Mailing Label No (mandatory)
	TR	ANSMISSION
☐ facsimile	transmitted to the Patent and Trader	Norothy Goodlett
4		Signature Signature
Date Sept	. 12, 2005	
/ - / -		Dorothy Goodlett
		(type or print name of person certifying)

*Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

(Status Inquiry [9-3]-page 1 of 3)

(check and com	olete applicable items below)
☐ An Examiner's	Answer was mailed on
☐ A Reply to the	Examiner's Answer was submitted on
- ADDITIONS	
the mailing of FORM POL-	327 and/or Examiner's Amendment on
the appropriate hox below. A stamped	the present status of this application, by checking direturn-addressed envelope is provided.
NOTE: M.P.E.P. § 203.08 Status Inquiries, & follows:	8th Edition, cautions as to the submission of status inquiries as
"NEW APPLICATION	
of Form PTOL-37 in every case of a in addition to a formal Notice of Allow the need for status inquiries even a or her saw application may have b	provide for the routine mailing from the Technology Centers (TCs) allowance of an application. Thus, the mailing of a form PTOL-37 wance (PTOL-85) in all allowed applications would seem to obviate is a precautionary measure where the applicant may believe his been passed to issue on the first examination. However, as an appropriate where a Notice of Allowance is not received within PTOL-37.
"Current examining procedures also dockets of each art unit and TC will of the "oldest new applications" app	aim to minimize the spread in dates among the various examiner in respect to actions on new applications. Accordingly, the dates bearing in the Official Gazette are lainly reliable guides as to the examiners reach the applications or action.
"Therefore, it should be rarely nece	ssary to query the status of a new application.
"AMENDED APPLICATIONS	
two months of the date the examin in order after reply by the aftomey un A postcard receipt for replies to Offic will be considered prima facie proof filing of a reply, the submission of a cuthe need for a petition to revive. Pro	If to be taken up by the examiner and an action completed within er receives the application. Accordingly, a status inquiry is not still 5 or 6 months have elapsed with no response from the Office e actions, adequately and specifically identifying the papers filed, of receipt of such papers. Where such proof indicates the timely popy of the postcard with a copy of the reply will ordinanly obviate sold of receipt of a timely reply to a final action will obviate the the reply was in compliance with 37 CFR 1.113."
	SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER
Reg. No.: 31,945	Scott R. Cox (type or pnnt name of practitioner)
Tel. No.: (502) 589–4215	500 West Jefferson St., Suite 2100
Customer No.	Louisville, KY 40202
Customer No.:	
	(Status Inquiry [9-3]—page 2 of 3)

		9-	13

FORM 9-3		
	CORM 0.3	
	FURM 9-3	10 100 200 Dale 60
(Rel 102-3/05 Pub 605) PORIST 9-3		(Kel 102-3703 Pub bt



STATUS INQUIRY REPLY

APPLIC	ATIO	ON SERIAL NO. / IS CURRENTLY
	AS	SIGNED TO GROUP AND AWAITS:
		ACTION BY THE EXAMINER.
		APPLICANT'S RESPONSE TO THE OFFICE ACTION MAILED
APPEAL	. NC),
		AWAITING ACTION BY THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFER
		DATE OF HEARING EXPECTED
		DECISION EXPECTED